

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,237	02/10/2006	Kevin Blann	02814.0082	9238
22852 7590 03/06/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER	
			LU, C CAIXIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/539,237	BLANN ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Caixia Lu	1713					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILINGS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMU CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mailon. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□	•	This action is non-final.	•					
3)[ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims			,				
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)ı	a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attack	Wa)							
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] 1-4	ew Summary (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	18) Paper I	No(s)/Mail Date	·				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/16/05</u>	5)	of Informal Patent Application					
. ape		o) 🗀 Otilei.						

Application/Control Number: 10/539,237

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, (i) bridging lines 12 and 13, the phrase "is substituted with" should be replaced with "contains", (ii) in the 4th line from the end of the claim, the phrase "if aromatic is substituted with" should be replaced with "if aromatic, contains", and (iii) in the 2nd line from the end of the claim, the term "on" should be replaced with "in". The corrections are necessary since those substituents are a part of the R¹⁻⁴ group rather than additional part of the R¹⁻⁴ groups. <u>Analogous rejections are also applied to claim</u> 33.

Claims 4 and 35, line 3, the phrase "are substituted by" should be replaced with "contain" for the same reason as shown above.

Claims 6 and 37, line 2, replace the term "on" with "in" for the same reason as shown above.

Claims 14 and 39, (i) the nomenclatures of "1,2-ethane", "1,2-propane", "1-2-catechol", and "1,2-dimethylhydrazine" are improper and they should be replaced with "1,2-ethylene", "1,2-propylene", "1,2-catecholate", and "-(CH₃)N-N(CH₃)-" respectively:

Application/Control Number: 10/539,237

Art Unit: 1713

(ii) the term "and" in the last lines of both claims should be "or" in order to be logical; (iii) the term "and" in the 6th from the end of claim 39 should be "or".

Claims 17 and 18 as well as claims 42 and 43 lack antecedences since A and/or C are limited to the single atom Markush selective group of claim 1 and 33 respectively.

Claims 20 and 44, the compound "(2-fluorophenyl)₂P-N(methyl)P(2-fluorophenyl)₂" lacks antecedence and should be deleted.

Claims 29 and 50, in line 2, the phrase "the transition metal from" should be deleted because it is the transition metal compound as whole is combined with the ligand compound.

Claims 30 and 52, (i) in line 2 of claim 30, in order to have logic follow, the term "further" should be inserted in the front of "includes"; and (ii) in both claims, the term "tetrafluoroboric acid etherate" is not and art recognized term.

Claim 51, in line 2, in order to have logic follow, the term "further" should be inserted in the front of "includes".

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/539,237

Art Unit: 1713

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-55 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-45 of copending Application No. 10/539,517. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference in the R groups in the ligand compounds of both sets of claims can be obvious variation to each other. Although the broad limitation of claims of Application No. 10/539,517 do not expressly limit the R group to contain a polar substituents, however, since the substituents is either polar or nonpolar, one would immediately envision the R group to contain a polar substituent. When the R group contains a polar substituent, the claims of Application No. 10/539,517 overlap with the instant claims.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1106. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571) 272-1114. The

Application/Control Number: 10/539,237 Page 5

Art Unit: 1713

fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Caixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner